



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.               | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/666,384  | 09/22/2003  | Masayuki Yokoyama    | S-2481/CONT                       | 7495             |
| 62479   | 7590        | 01/19/2007           |                                   |                  |
| HAHN & VOIGHT PLLC<br>1012 14TH STREET, NW<br>SUITE 620<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20005 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT                          | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 1618                              |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE                         | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 01/19/2007                        | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7A

|   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/666,384      | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>YOKOYAMA ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>James W. Rogers, Ph.D. | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1618                |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) James W. Rogers, Ph.D.

(2) Roger Hahn.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Mike Hartley.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10-11, 13-18

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Dr. James Rogers after consulting with his supervisor Mike Hartley, who gave the examiner permission to call applicants, contacted Roger Hahn the attorney of record for applicants of case number 10/666,384 to propose an examiners amendment. The examiner proposed canceling the composition claims 10-11 and 13-18 which would place claim 1 the process for production claim in condition for a provisional allowance. Mr. Hahn informed Dr. Rogers that he would consult the applicants on the proposed examiners amendment.